R Brue Wallace the JAN - 3 1982 sical oly-DNA as mutagen nism hich con-How thoughtful of you to weall ough what I wrote is 20 years ago! The ooth ough Leeper my rights that my calenda cine is fully booked for the time of your rived ision line up ungecetidly Duil write cenis back to you. of the i the i had You may reall how Dobyhawshy same characterized the pneumocorlus their framformakai as a directed mute-1 and trais " even before Avery + co. whereterized DNA flux. , their issage orary This may seem a semantic nicety their but Avery (and Harriett Ephrussi) were icchainformed by it is such a way that enetic : dealthey would doing or interpreting pecific multi-marker recombination expts. mplish ma sningle, straightforward way in the 3 to be and this was left to Rollin / total culture thether

which a strain rives, one or the phases is selected and displaces the other. The unique feature is the convergence observed in the rough phase resulting in an apparent loss of the distinctions between the types. The mutations from smooth to rough seem to be physical losses of the distinctive features of the cells expressed in the polysaccharide envelope, as though the germ plasm of this organism contained a certain stable nucleus and a variable periphery which may be disposed of altogether. Still more extravagant, and yet conclusively proved, phenomena are enacted if a culture of the rough phase is added to a vaccine consisting of dead cells of the smooth phase with a trace of the antirough serum. For in this case rough reverts to smooth of the same type to which the cells in the vaccine had belonged. Thus, if a small amount of the rough culture derived from the normal (smooth) line of Type II is added to a suspension of smooth cells of Type III devitalized by heating, a smooth line of Type III, not of Type II, is produced. By this method it seems to be possible to convert at least many of the thirty-two types of the pneumococci into many other types. The transformation of the rough into the smooth of the same type from which the rough had been originally derived is, of course, also accomplished by the same method, if a vaccine of that type is used. The vaccines lose their transforming effectiveness after being heated to the boiling point, but cell suspensions heated to 60°C, and subsequently frozen and thawed are effective.

The strains "transformed" from one type into another retain their new properties after cultivation on suitable media or after passage through animal hosts. Hence, they acquire not merely a temporary polysaccharide envelope of a kind different from that which their ancestors have had, but are able to synthesize the new polysaccharide indefinitely. If this transformation is described as a genetic mutation—and it is difficult to avoid so describing it—we are dealing with authentic cases of induction of specific mutations by specific treatments—a feat which geneticists have vainly tried to accomplish in higher organisms. Admittedly, there are many obscurities in the situation which ought to be cleared up. For example, it seems to be unknown whether the transformation affects every cell in the culture or whether only some cells mutate and others are destroyed; whether

4 Roshe 5/15/224